

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,157	07/30/2003	Virginia Monsul Barnes	7407	9491
23909	7590 08/18/2004		EXAMINER	
COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY			O CONNOR, CARY E	
909 RIVER PISCATAW	ROAD 'AY, NJ 08855		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Assign Comment	10/630,157	BARNES ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cary E. O'Connor	3732	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provided of the provided in the provision of the provided in the provision of th	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thirt I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable.	awn from consideration. for election requirement. ler. l) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyarction is required if the drawing.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examilier. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 105	132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-01-03.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15. 	2)

10/630,157 Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skinner (1,003,213). Skinner shows a dental instrument comprising an elongated portion 6 and curved portions 11 on either end of the elongated portion. The curvature of the curved portions have the general curvature of at least one of posterior teeth and anterior teeth (see Figs. 3 and 4). Is to the recitation that the instrument is "for measuring deposits on teeth", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/630,157 Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner (1,003,213). The curved portions of Skinner are not disclosed as having different curvatures for the anterior and posterior teeth. It would have been an obvious design choice, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to form the curved portions of Skinner to have different curvatures for the anterior and posterior teeth so that the same instrument can be used for all the teeth, thereby cutting down on the number of instruments required.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner (1,003,213) in view of Urban (5,676,544). The instrument of Skinner does not include markings for measuring on the curved portions. Urban shows a dental instrument for cleaning teeth and includes markings 380-388 for measuring periodontal pockets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the curved portions of Skinner with markings, as taught by Urban, so that the instrument may also be used for measuring the periodontal pocket.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-16 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,157

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

Cary E. O'Connor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

ceo August 13, 2004